DORIGINAL

In The United States District Court For the Northern District of Texas Fort Worth Division

United States of America:

Case No.: . 4:14-CR-074-Y

JONATHAN DANIEL KUTE J. S. DISTRICT COURT FILED

Afficiavit of Fact

By Deputy

To The Hon Terry R. Means

. Jonathan Kutej did not Knowingly or willingly, employ, or use, or persuade, or entice the minor child in question to engage in sexually explicit conduct for the purpose of producing a visual dipiction of such conduct.

- 2. The Indictment no. 4:14-CA-74-Y is a CBare Bones Indictment)
- 3. The Indictment does not Identify a victim
- 4. The indictment does not specify anything about the alleged Victem's Identity.
- 5. Theindict ment does not specify any characteristics of or about the alleged victim
- 6. If Jonathan were to plea to
 this indictment it would be
 left up to the government
 to bring forth anyone inplace
 of "Jane Doe"
- 7. The indictment closs not bring forth ony evidense to substantiate its clame of Violation of 18 U.G.C. 7251(9)

- 8. Other than the clate August
 14, 7013 and in the Fort
 Worth Division of the Northern
 district of Texas, there are no
 specifics as to when or where
 this Violation took place.
- 9. The black I Phone clearly was not purch as ed with the intensions to affect interstate and or foreign commerce by producing any wisual depiction
- 10. In the indictment there are no serial numbers or any discription of the phone in question other than Cone Apple I Phone "taken" from Kate; by Young)
- Il The indictment states that the phone was taken instead of seized

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the united states of America that the foregoing is true and correct. (without submitting to any foreign jarisdiction)

07-23-14 Gont Mitto executed on Authorized Representative Without Recourse In The United States District Court For the Northen District Of Texas Fort Worth Division

United States of America:

Case No:

V: 4:14-CR-074-Y

JONATHAN DANIEL KUTET:

Affidavit of Fact

To The Hon Terry R. Means

- 1. On 8-15-13 Hood County Criminal Investigator R. Young. (Here in after "Young) did drive on to private property, 7905 Colony Rd Tolar TX 76476.
- 2. Young was in an unmarked vihicle.
- 3. Young was trespassing on private property.
- 4. Your did not display or show a budge.

- 5. Young did not announce him Selfas an officer of the law.
- 6. Young chrew his neapon and force fully incisted Jonathan to get on the ground.
- 7. Jonathan asked who he was.
- 8. Young chemied Jonathan Kutej's request for a warrant and Identification.
- 9. Young stated (you watch to much TV I don't need a warrant).
- 10 Young Handcuffed Jonathan
- 11 Young searched Jonathan
- 12 Young Took and seized a black I Phone without a search named
- 13 Youngplaced the I Phone in his shirt pocket.

- 14. Jonathan asked that Young produce a search and or seizure warrant.
- 15. Young stuted (I don't need a search or seizure warrant.
- 16. Jonathon's father Bruce Kutes was a witness to the entire incedent between Jonathan and Young.
- 17. Bruce informed Young he was on his private property.
- 18. Bruce asked Young for a warrant.
- 19. Young again devied Bruce a warrant.
- 20. Jonathan requested Young turn the Iphone over to Bruce.
- 21. Young deried Jonathan's reguest to turn over the I Phone to Brace.

- 22. Youngdid not make a clone of the I Phone.
- 23. Young did not secure the I Phone in a evidence bag.
- 24. Young did not show Jonathan a complaint.
- 75. Jonathan was Bailed out of Hood County on 08-16-13, the I Phone that was sxized illegally, without a warrant was not in Jonathan's personal property.
 - 76. Jonathan made a request for the Iphone at the jail on 08-16-13.
- 77. Young showed up at Tonathan's residense an amounced on 01-14-14 and arrested Tonathan for what he claimed was a federal arrest warrant.

- 28 Young took Jonathan to Hood County Jail for what he was told was an over night Holding on a federal warrant 01-14-14.
- 79. There is not a federal Warrant for arrest on Jonathan
- 30 There is not a fecteral search warrant for Jonathan's property
- 31 There is not a federal warrant for the Black I Phone for Seizure.
- 32 There is not a fecteral warrant for the contents of the Black I Phone
- 33 Any evidence or information found in the Black I Phone illegally seized by Young is in admissable in a court of law.

pgase4:146cr-00074-Y	Document 41	Filed 07/28/14	Page 10 of 11	PageID 95
17				

34. Any evidence obtained by the government without a warrant for seizure or search is inadmissable in the court of law.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the united states of America that the foregoing is true and correct. (without submitting to any foreign jurisdiction)

executed on Au

Authorized Representative Without Accourse